Case: 1:07-cv-03626 Document #: 146-17 Filed: 12/14/09 Page 1 of 22 PageID #:1775

EXHIBIT P

Case.	11.07-04-03020 Document #. 140-17 Tilled. 12/14	#09 Tage 2 Of 22 TageID #.1770							
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS								
3	EASTERN DIVISION								
4		·							
5	JAMES JIRAK and ROBERT PEDERSEN,))							
6	Plaintiffs,	,)) No. 07 C 3626							
7))							
8	v.)) Judge Castillo)							
9	ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC,)) Magistrate Judge) Keys \							
10	Defendant.)))							
11)							
12									
13									
14									
15	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF MS.	. AMBER MUNSON							
16	Taken on behalf of th	ne Defendant							
17	August 28, 2	2009							
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
	1								

promised -- we were the first time Abbott had ever laid off a group of people.

We were always told that Abbott didn't do that type of stuff, and that it was very family oriented, and that they would always find room and find places for people. But it just didn't happen.

And so to finish answering your question, when I left Abbott, I decided to take some time off.

I had worked since I got out of college and decided to do some traveling.

And really didn't have a desire to get back into pharmaceuticals based on the fact that I just knew it wasn't as rewarding as what I had done when I was in telecom.

And the only problem is, is being in Joplin, Missouri. There's not a whole lot of great jobs here in Joplin, Missouri.

And then PDI presented itself, and it was a position that I decided that I would take to tide me over until I find something else.

- Q. When you say that you didn't think pharmaceutical sales was as rewarding as telecom --
 - A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. -- can you explain that a little bit more?

Q. Right.

So I can have doctors writing my drugs.

1	But you know, being in Joplin, we've got all these
- 2	Wal-Marts in the area, and I don't get paid for any
3	of those scripts that are written.
4	Q. So Wal-Mart is one of those pharmacies
5	that doesn't report?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. So do you get any other reports besides
8	the numbers that you would see every couple of
9	months? I mean are there weekly prescription data
10	reports?
11	A. There are. And I believe that it
12	depends on who your manager is when those are shared
13	and when they're not, which also makes the industry
14	very hard.
15	Because there are some managers that will
16	share that information with their reps, and there's
17	others that won't.
18	But a lot of the times those numbers come
19	from the 30,000 up, you know, view so that they
20	don't really trickle down to your exact areas.
21	Which, you know, they're supposed to be
22	like pep-me-up talks like, "We're doing a great
23	job, " or, "Things aren't working out."
24	But no, it's it's definitely something

But no, it's -- it's definitely something that's pharmaceutical-wide.

25

- 1 Q. So as far as your personal experience, 2 did your manager share reports with you on a more 3 frequent basis than once every couple of months? 4 Α. Oh, my manager? He was very good at 5 giving us numbers. He was very good at giving us б numbers. 7 How often would you receive numbers? 8 Α. Oh, I would say -- it's been many years 9 ago, but I would say on a very regular basis. 10 Like weekly or monthly or -ο. 11 Α. Well, weekly. Weekly, maybe biweekly. We always had a pretty -- a pretty good grasp on 12 13 where things were going. 14 Ο. So did that help you feel like you had 15 a better understanding of what your numbers were if you were receiving reports on a weekly or biweekly 16 17 basis? 18 I wouldn't say that it really was able 19 to give you a grasp of how successful you were doing 20 your job. It was just a matter of luck of the draw 21 of whether or not the prescriptions that were being 22 written were going to the right pharmacies. 23 ο. So luck of the draw in the sense that
 - if the prescriptions went to a pharmacy that reported, then your numbers would be better than if

the field to, you know, produce it and see how it should be done.

Well, when I was with National Car Rental,
I had come from the corporate world, and I had gone
out into management. And I was working at the
Minneapolis location, and I wanted it all to be
corrected, in my corporate mentality, to be fixed
right away.

So I went into National Car Rental. And I was pulled in and was told I got elected -- I mean I don't know if it so much was disciplined as much as I think it was constructive criticism that was much appreciated, when I was told that Rome wasn't built in a day.

That you can't just come in here and expect to take all 75 of these people and do -- you know, tell them that they need to change their ways.

I had that happen at National Car Rental.

And then at Sprint I never had anything that I can think of. McLeod, everything was good.

I know at Abbott there were a few times that I was put on a PIP, a performance improvement plan, because numbers weren't where they needed to be.

Q. Mm-hmm.

It was just so you could get practice.

- Q. I see. And you could see different --
- Α. Right.
- Q. -- styles and --
- 16 Α. Mm-hmm.

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Did you learn -- did you have classes Q. or training on selling skills?
 - There were some. There were some.

But I -- I mean they would -- it was not so much selling, because in the true sale, I believe you -- I don't think you can really teach somebody to be a salesperson. I mean they've either got to have it in them or they don't.

But it wasn't something that we ever sat in

isn't, you know --

4

0. Mm-hmm.

5 6

what's required in order for you to get paid." It

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α. It wasn't something like, "This is was just more the marketing message.

Did they ever teach you things about Q. like personality types of doctors and how to most effectively communicate with certain types of people?

I think we took one class like that or Α. one afternoon might have been something similar to that.

The one thing that sticks out the most in my mind from training is when they once said, "You know, you can't ever take anything personally with a physician because you don't know what he just said to that patient when he walked out of the room."

You know, if he just told somebody that he died or they're dying and then he comes out in the hallway and says something to you, you know, don't take it personally.

Which I kind of already knew that coming from telecom. I had lots of people that would say,

don't know if we got it in the mail or if it was 23

something we got at out of our POA meetings, when we

25 -- one of our national meetings.

1	But basically they tell you what questions
2	you should ask. These are the questions you can
3	ask. I mean they're like the awareness of the
4	knowledge.
5	You know, "Doctor, what criteria are most
6	important to you when evaluating lipid therapy?"
7	Or, "Doctor, how are you currently treating your
8	dyslipic patients with LDLs between 100 and 129?"
9	You know, and then you try to get them to
10	the next step. Because they wanted you to obviously
11	gauge the doctor to see what stage they were they
12	were in the prescribing process.
13	And then these different questions would
14	come into play as to where they were. And they
15	based and we and I don't think I saw numbers
16	in here anywhere.
17	But I remember once we had a chart that
18	they showed us that if a doctor was writing between
19	zero and five, he might have been at an awareness.
20	Or there's like zero yeah, like zero to
21	five scripts a month, he might have been at
22	awareness. 5 to 15, he might have been
23	limited trial.
24	They had a chart that showed us exactly

where they fell. And based on where they fell,

these were the questions we were supposed to ask them.

- Q. Okay. I see. So how did you know what stage the doctor was at so that you could figure out which of these questions to ask?
- A. The numbers that they would send to us, the reporting that they had.
 - Q. So like prescription data?
 - A. Prescription data, right.
- Q. So you would receive the prescription data, and then you would try to figure out what stage the doctor was in.

And then with regard to these questions, I mean what was the expectation? Was the expectation that you ask these questions verbatim during your sales calls?

- A. Mm-hmm. That's what the -- that's what the grinders were for and what the role plays were for. You would -- I mean -- and I shouldn't say, you know, word by word.
 - Q. Mm-hmm.
- A. You didn't get counted off if you didn't use the exact same words that are written here. But it would give you, "This is what you're supposed to detail."

1	And then a lot of times and I don't know						
2	well, here there might be some different						
3	flashcards in here that might say, you know, "This						
4	if the doctor says this, then this is where in						
5	the sales piece you need to go to respond to that."						
6	So if the doctor says, "I'm writing this						
7	against this drug or this is the drug that I write						
8	and this is why," then we would have training						
. 9	materials that would say, "Well, then you need to go						
10	to page 5 and show them this chart right here."						
11	Q. Okay. So it was sort of a flow chart?						
12	A. An algorithm basically.						
13	Q. Algorithm?						
14	A. Depending on where they fall, this is						
15	what you're supposed to do.						
16	Q. So what would happen if you asked the						
17	doctor a question that wasn't on this training						
18	material?						
19	A. Are you referring						
20	MR. LIANG: I'm going to object. That						
21	assumes facts not in evidence.						
22	MS. OSE:						
23	Q. Go ahead and answer.						
24	A. Are you referring to as in if I asked						
25	a doctor this question when I was doing a grinder						

expanding was probably just some verbiage that I had used in something else or I probably saw, you know, on another resumé somewhere.

But I mean you do create relationships. I mean it is getting in and going through that gatekeeper and getting to know those physicians.

And I mean you do. You call on the same doctors for a while. You maintain it, and you try to expand the numbers and the sales and, you know, doctors knowing about your products through educating them.

That's what we did. We educated them and marketed to them versus closing them. I mean there's not a real close.

- Q. How is -- I mean we talked about a close a little bit earlier this morning. So I guess I'm trying to understand why that's not a real close.
- A. Well, because a real close in any real sales job -- it goes back to that car analogy, where when somebody buys a car, they sign on that dotted line, and that guy knows he's getting paid for that.

Whereas in pharmaceuticals, you don't ever get that. You don't ever get that. In

1 pharmaceuticals you don't.

Now if you're in diagnostics or devices, you do, because you actually see the products that they're using, and you bill them for it.

But in pharmaceuticals it doesn't happen.

I mean we're -- we're basically like programmed robots. I mean we're trained to go out and give the message that is presented to us.

I mean this is the verbiage we use. And you know, it's embedded in your head that this is what is important to these doctors, and this is what you need to sell to them.

But yet any doctor will tell you that he's writing it, but then the numbers come back and they're not. But are they?

You know, a great example would be -- and I know this isn't Abbott. But recently at Pfizer they sent out two different reports. There was a 20 percent discrepancy in the number of scripts that were written. One report said they were writing 20 percent more than the other one did.

Nobody will ever give you any answers as to what that is. So I don't -- I mean that's not a true sales job to me.

Q. So explain to me then what it is that

It had been vacant for like I want to Α. say four or five months. There was a gentleman by

24

25

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the name of Terry Kohl that they let him go too because of -- I mean because of the numbers.

> Q. Mm-hmm.

And when I walked into the bad territory, I mean it was -- it was not a good territory. I mean it wasn't ranked very high.

It all depends on your managed care coverage. When you get ranked -- when it comes to rankings, they rank you with everyone else in the country.

And if your drug isn't on formulary like Medicaid or Blue Cross/Blue Shield, if you're not ranked -- if you're not on first or second tier here but yet somebody in Las Vegas has coverage and they're covered on all the plans, and if they can get -- you know, Medicaid people can get your drug for 50 cents or \$2, well, obviously they're going to sell a lot more scripts in Vegas than you are in Missouri.

And so numbers -- it's a number game. I mean it really is. I mean I think if you go back and look at other sales performance and look at reviews that, you know, from the ride-alongs that say, "You know, you're doing an excellent job of your organization and stuff, " this here is just

- Q. Do the doctors always know what's on formulary and what's not?
- A. No. That's what -- that's what we're there for. That's what we're supposed to tell them. That's why they give us materials and stickers and stuff to put on drugs when we go out in the field.
- Q. So I guess my question is, if you write a prescription for a drug that's not on formulary, and the doctor doesn't know that it's not on formulary, he's going to write the product anyway?
- A. But then when they go to the pharmacist --
 - Q. Does the pharmacist switch it out?
 - A. -- the pharmacist switches it out.
- Q. And that's why you would call the pharmacies and tell them not to?
- A. Right. You would ask them not to. But the majority of people -- I mean anyone that's, you know, going to write a higher tier drug, unless the doctor is really educated and willing to take the time, which most of them aren't, it's going to get switched at the pharmacy anyhow.
 - Q. So let's just talk about this letter

1	MS. OSE:							
2	Q. All right. And are you familiar with							
3	this document?							
4	A. Yep. Basically the same thing as the							
5	other one, just a different format.							
6	Q. Okay. So this is a performance review							
7	from the year 2004. Let's look at, "Selling the							
8	customers, partially achieved expectations."							
9	A. You know what? Can you repeat that							
10	again.							
11	Q. Sure. Selling to customers, for which							
12	you received a score of partially achieved							
13	expectations.							
14	A. Mm-hmm.							
15	Q. This third bullet point down, it says,							
16	"Monthly Mobic total prescriptions declined subtly							
17	January through August. Average 86 per month down							
18	from January baseline."							
19	You might not remember, but was there some							
20	sort of formulary position change during that time?							
21	A. No, I don't I don't know exactly							
22	what the difference was.							
23	Q. Okay. So you don't							
24	A. I would have to I would have to see							

a full analysis of the market. I don't know if

my -	- s	ee,	the	thing	is,	is	that	they	don	't	take
into	co	nsid	erat	ion a	lot	of	times	3	and	I	don't
know	if	thi	s is	the o	case.	•					

But a lot of times you might have a physician that was a high writer that might have left your area. You know, he might move to another state.

And then you're no longer -- you don't have that target in your territory anymore, and you don't have the freedom to go out and pick other targets to replace him with that might be able to write those scripts for you. You just have to use what's on your call plan to pull those numbers from.

Another thing that sometimes happens is the realignment. You know, if you get new targets that -- you know, a new area.

Or -- I don't think -- I don't think Mobic had gone generic then, but that is very possible that it had gone generic.

But I think that was too far back. I don't think it went generic till like '07, '06. No. Was this -- this was '06. No, it was '05.

- Q. No, this was performance year '04.
- A. Okay. Yeah, so it wasn't generic yet.

 Which is amazing because the Mobic is what I -- I